Bhaktivedanta Swami and the Manu-Samhita

This text is a rudimentary attempt to show how the *Manu-Samhita* is represented in the works of Bhaktivedanta Swami. To accomplish this, references to and quotes from the *Manu-Samhita*, as well as those mentioning the name Manu in relation to his laws, were extracted from Bhaktivedanta SwamiÆs books, lectures, conversations, and letters in the latest Vedabase, and then categorize according to content and context. To this date the VedaBase is still not entirely complete, so more references may exist. However, the data used here comprises the bulk and I deem it fairly representative for the possible entirety.

A total number of 155 references have been categorized as statements made about the *Manu-Samhita* and quotations from the *Manu-Samhita* or references to such quotations. The former comprises 38% of the total and the latter 62%. The data shows that all statements made about the *Manu-Samhita* are solely meant to establish and highlight its importance as an authorized work of law and dharma that must be followed. Yet, despite this apparent importance a quarter of the material referencing the *Manu-Samhita* deals with the capital punishment of murderers and almost half with the dependence and control of women.

The question naturally arises why Bhaktivedanta Swami, after repeatedly establishing the *Manu-Samhita* as important, authoritative, and an almost absolute law for human kind, then chose to focus mostly on less than a handful of the 2,694 verses in this vast work.

Another question could be raised in this regard as to the significance of the letter to Madhusudana, in which we find the only instance where Bhaktivedanta Swami spoke against following the *Manu-Samhita* — about six months before his death.

Summary Statistics

Total references: 155

Category	Туре	References	% of total
About the Manu-Samhita		59	38
	As law or dharma-sastra	21	36
	As a standard, guide, or authorized	22	37
	Following or failing to follow	12	20
	Miscellaneous definitions	4	7
From the Manu-Samhita		96	62
	The capital punishment of murderers	24	25
	The dependence/protection of women	44	46
	Satisfaction of women	6	6
	Miscellaneous statements	22	23

References

SB stands for *Srimad-Bhagavatam* (Bhagavata Purana), the compilation with translation and commentary by Bhaktivedanta Swami.

BG stands for Bhagavad-Gita, the compilation with translation and commentary by Bhaktivedanta Swami.

CC stands for Caitanya-Caritamrta, the compilation with translation and commentary by Bhaktivedanta Swami.

TLK stands for Teachings of Lord Kapila, the compilation with translation and commentary by Bhaktivedanta Swami.

As law or dharma-sastra

BG 2.21: law book for mankind

BG 16.7: law of the human race

SB 2.1.36: standard law book for humanity

SB 4.27.5: laws meant for human beings

SB 4.27.5: contains laws regulating sex

SB 5.7.8: contains estate laws

SB 6.2.11: is a dharma-sastra

TLK 6.11: contains the laws of God

Lecture BG 4.10, Vrndavana, August 2, 1974: is a dharma-sastra

Lecture BG 7.3, Bombay, February 18, 1974: is Hindu law

Lecture BG 13.8-12, Bombay, September 30, 1973: is the law

Lecture SB 1.5.9-11, New Vrindaban, June 6, 1969: is a dharma-sastra

Lecture SB 3.25.11, Bombay, November 11, 1974: are the laws of God

Lecture SB 3.26.17, Bombay, December 26, 1974: are laws to control human society

Lecture SB 7.9.10, Mayapur, February 17, 1976: is the most important of the dharma-sastras

Room Conversation, July 9, 1975, Chicago: is Vedic law

Room Conversation, January 8, 1976, Nellore: is Hindu law

Garden Discussion on BG 16, June 26, 1976, New Vrindaban: is the law book of the human race

Discussion with Professor Kotovski, Moscow, June 22, 1971: is the Hindu law

Philosophy Discussions with Shyamasundara on Bertrand Russell: is the higher authority that we take the law from

Letter to Alfred Ford, Los Angeles, 16 July, 1974: is the Vedic law book

As a standard, guide, or authorized

BG 7.15: guide to religious principles

SB 1.7.37: contains civic codes and religious principles

SB 1.9.27: meant to give direction to kings for proper administration

SB 2.1.36: great book of social knowledge

SB 2.10: meant to give right direction in life

SB 2.7.9: authorized book of the great sages

SB 2.7.9: contains standard welfare codes

SB 6.1.7: is an authorized scripture

SB 7.8.48: contains directions based on varnasrama concerning how to live as a human being

SB 7.11.7: is an all-pervading authority

SB 8.1.10: contains instructions for all of human society

SB 8.1.16: is a guide to human society

CC Adi 2.91-92: guides the way to perfection in human life

Lecture BG 7.1, Bombay, January 13, 1973: directs people how to act and live

Lecture SB 1.3.20, Los Angeles, September 25, 1972: are rules and regulations for the human being

Lecture SB 3.26.17, Bombay, December 26, 1974: is Aryan literature

Lecture SB 3.26.17, Bombay, December 26, 1974: is Vedic literature

Lecture SB 6.2.11, Vrndavana, September 13, 1975: is authorized

Morning Walk, April 20, 1974, Hyderabad: contains moral principles for conducting society

Discussion with Professor Kotovski, Moscow, June 22, 1971: is an example of the standard of brahminical culture

Garden Conversation, June 28, 1976, New Vrindaban: decides who can vote

Philosophy Discussions with Hayagriva on Immanuel Kant: is a theocratic government

Following or failing to follow

SB 2.1.36: every human being is advised to follow it

SB 4.10.14: Hindus in India follow the laws given by Manu

SB 4.18.3: human society should follow the Manu-smrti

SB 5.12.7: duty of a King is to follow dharma-sastra

SB 7.8.48: human society must follow its principles to attain peace

SB 8.1.7: states ruled otherwise will not endure

Lecture BG 7.3, Bombay, February 18, 1974: is not to be amended

Lecture SB 6.1.6, Nellore, January 5, 1976: failing prescribed atonement will lead to hell

Lecture SB 6.1.7, Honolulu, May 8, 1976: failing prescribed atonement will lead to hell

Garden Discussion on BG 16, June 26, 1976, New Vrindaban: is followed by Hindus to this day

Letter to Madhsudana, Vrndavana, May 19, 1977: mlecchas and yavanas cannot touch the Manu-Samhita

Letter to Madhsudana, Vrndavana, May 19, 1977: if you try to follow the *Manu-Samhita* then you become a mleccha and yavana and your career is finished

Miscellaneous definitions

BG 16.7: defines proper social behavior

Morning Walk, April 20, 1974, Hyderabad: is not religion

Discussion with Professor Kotovski, Moscow, June 22, 1971: is perfect

Discussion with Professor Kotovski, Moscow, June 22, 1971: is applicable for all time

The capital punishment of murderers

There is no specific reference in the *Manu-Samhita* stating that murderers should be killed or hanged and that this act is the mercy of the King. The closest reference would be: "But men who have committed crimes and have been punished by the king, go to heaven, being pure like those who performed meritorious deeds." (Manu-Samhita 8.318) Killing in self-defense or for the protection of women and Brahmins incurs no sin (Manu-Samhita 8.349 and 8.350-351). Other than that, punishment differs for each caste and circumstance, and chapter 11 enumerates various forms of penance that can be performed instead of punishment — including capital punishment. Hanging is nowhere mentioned in the *Manu-Samhita* as a capital punishment.

BG 2.21: a murderer should be condemned to death

SB 4.25.8: it is necessary for a king to execute a murderer

SB 4.26.21: a King should be considered merciful when he condemns a murderer to death

SB 6.1.8: a man who has committed murder should be hanged

SB 7.1.12: a king bestows mercy upon a murderer by killing him

Lecture BG 2.1-11, Johannesburg, October 17, 1975: it is the government's mercy when a murderer is hanged

Lecture BG 2.20-25, Seattle, October 14, 1968: a murderer should be condemned to death

Lecture BG 2.32, London, September 2, 1973: a murderer should be killed

Lecture SB 1.16.36, Tokyo, January 30, 1974: a murderer is condemned to death to save him

Lecture SB 1.16.36, Tokyo, January 30, 1974: if a murderer is killed, then he will not commit further murders

Lecture SB 5.5.3, Stockholm, September 9, 1973: when a person is a murderer, he should be killed

Lecture SB 6.1.6, Bombay, November 6, 1970: hanging a murderer is mercy

Lecture SB 6.1.6, Sydney, February 17, 1973: if a man commits murder then he should be hanged

Lecture SB 6.1.6-15, San Francisco, September 12, 1968: it is a king's mercy to hang a murderer

Lecture SB 6.1.8, New York, July 22, 1971: it is of benefit if the king hangs a murderer

Lecture SB 6.1.8, New York, July 22, 1971: sanctions a life for a life

Lecture SB 6.1.32, Honolulu, May 31, 1976: it is a king's mercy to hang a murderer

Lecture SB 6.2.16, Vrndavana, September 19, 1975: a murderer must be killed

Lecture CC Madhya 20.367-84, New York, December 31, 1966: punishment reduces sinful reactions

Room Conversation, July 9, 1973, London: a murderer should be hanged

Room Conversation, July 24, 1973, London: a murderer should be hanged

Morning Walk, December 16, 1973, Los Angeles: to hang a murderer is mercy

Interview with Newsweek, July 14, 1976, New York: a murderer should be killed

Letter to Alfred Ford, Los Angeles, 16 July, 1974: a murderer when hanged is released from sin

The dependence/protection of women

As to the issue of controlling women, I have placed references to the protection of women with those asserting their dependence, as they almost always appear together and share the same source (*Manu-Samhita* 9.3): pita raksati kaumare

bharta raksati yauvane raksanti sthavire putra na stri svatantryam arhati

"The father protects her in childhood, the husband in youth, the sons in old age. A woman does not deserve independence."

The general concept is clarified by the verse preceding this one (Manu-Samhita 9.2):

asvatantrah striyah karyah purusaih svair divanisam visayesu ca sajjantyah samsthapya atmano vase

"Day and night, men should never give any freedom to women. By engaging them in proper activities they should keep them under their control."

BG 16.7: a woman should not be given freedom

SB 3.22.25: a woman is never independent

SB 3.33.19: women should not have independence at any stage of life

SB 5.2.21: a woman needs a great deal of protection in order to remain pure and chaste

SB 6.18.30: a man should not associate with a woman in a solitary place

SB 8.9.9: every woman should be protected

SB 9.9.32: independence for a woman means miserable life

SB 9.14.38: a woman must always be protected

SB 9.14.38: women should not be given freedom

SB 10.4.5: women should never have chief executive posts

CC Antya 2.119: one should not sit closely to women

TLK 5.5: women should never be given freedom

Lecture BG, Ahmedabad, December 8, 1972: women should be given all protection

Lecture BG 2.44-45, 2.58, New York, March 25, 1966: a woman is always protected, never given independence

Lecture BG 4.11, New York, July 27, 1966: women should not be given independence is a Vedic truth

Lecture BG 4.12-13, New York, July 29, 1966: women should be given all protection

Lecture BG 7.4-5, Bombay, March 30, 1971: a woman has no independence

Lecture BG 7.4-5, Bombay, March 30, 1971: a woman's real happiness is to remain always dependent

Lecture BG 7.5, Vrndavana, August 11, 1974: women never deserve to be independent

Lecture BG 16.7, Hawaii, February 3, 1975: a woman should be given protection

Lecture BG 16.7, Hawaii, February 3, 1975: a woman is never given independence

Lecture BG, Ahmedabad, December 8, 1972: women should not be free

Lecture BG, Ahmedabad, December 8, 1972: woman should not be given freedom

Lecture SB 1.3.21, Los Angeles, September 26, 1972: women should not be given independence

Lecture SB 1.3.21, Los Angeles, September 26, 1972: women should remain under the intelligent men, not declare freedom

Lecture SB 1.7.43, Vrndavana, October 3, 1976: women should be given protection, no freedom

Lecture SB 1.7.43, Vrndavana, October 3, 1976: women should not be given freedom, they must be protected

Lecture SB 2.1.2-5, Montreal, October 23, 1968: women should be given all protection

Lecture SB 2.1.2-5, Montreal, October 23, 1968: women should not be allowed independence

Lecture SB 2.3.1, Los Angeles, May 19, 1972: woman cannot be given independence, they must be protected

Lecture SB 3.25.5-6, Bombay, November 5, 1974: woman should not be given freedom

Lecture SB 3.26.8, Bombay, December 20, 1974: a woman neither requires nor deserves independence

Lecture SB 3.28.18, Nairobi, October 27, 1975: women should be always protected

Lecture SB 5.6.4, Vrndavana, November 26, 1976: a woman should not be given independence

Lecture SB 7.6.6-9, Montreal, June 23, 1968: women should be always protected

Lecture SB 7.6.6-9, Montreal, June 23, 1968: a woman should never be given independence

Evening Darsana, May 9, 1977, Hrishikesh: for women there is no independence

Room Conversation, May 14, 1969, Columbus: a woman does not deserve independence

Room Conversation, July 9, 1975, Chicago: describes a woman's dependence

Room Conversation, July 9, 1975, Chicago: a woman is not to be given freedom

Room Conversation, January 7, 1977, Bombay: a woman should not be given independence

Garden Discussion on BG 16, June 26, 1976, New Vrindaban: a woman should not be given freedom

Garden Discussion on BG 16, June 26, 1976, New Vrindaban: proper social behavior is to protect women in every stage of life

Morning Walk, December 14, 1975, New Delhi: women should not be given independence

Satisfaction of women

SB 4.25.41: keep a wife satisfied with ornaments [MS 3.55, 59]

Lecture BG 4.39-5.3, New York, August 24, 1966: women dress according to their position

Lecture BG 6.47, Ahmedabad, December 12, 1972: must be married to produce nice children

Lecture SB 1.9.2, Los Angeles, May 16, 1973: women are kept satisfied with gifts [MS 3.55, 59]

Lecture SB 2.9.11, Tokyo, April 27, 1972: women are kept satisfied by giving them ornaments [MS 3.55, 59]

Morning walk, April 30, 1973, Los Angeles: one cannot touch a woman's property

Miscellaneous statements

BG 3.39: lust cannot be satisfied

SB 3.22.16: mentions eight forms of marriage [MS 3.20-35]

SB 4.11.7: attacking innocent citizens is sinful

SB 6.4.9: teaches curbing of material tendencies

SB 6.13.8-9: enumerates names of inter-varnic offspring

SB 7.11.14: enumerates the duties of brahmins

CC Adi 1.46: explains the duties of an acarya

CC Madhya 10.145: enjoins the dress code for sannyasis

CC Antya 20.147: names the teacher of supplementary scripture

Lecture BG 4.18, Bombay, April 7, 1974: the tendency of humans is to enjoy

Lecture BG 7.3, Bombay, February 18, 1974: states that there is no divorce

Lecture SB 1.3.17, Los Angeles, September 22, 1972: the son inherits the father's debt [generalized interpretation of MS 8.162]

Lecture SB 1.7.32-33, Vrndavana, September 27, 1976: the son inherits the father's debt [generalized interpretation of MS 8.162]

Lecture SB 5.5.1-2, London (Tittenhurst), September 13, 1969: states that widow marriage is prohibited [MS 9.65]

Room Conversation, June 5, 1974, Geneva: sudras are not to be instructed

Room Conversation, June 5, 1974, Geneva: Europeans and Americans are mlecchas and yavanas [likely inferred from MS 10.41-45]

Letter to Madhsudana, Vrndavana, May 19, 1977: states that we are all mlecchas and yavanas [likely inferred from MS 10.41-45]

Morning Walk, April 20, 1974, Hyderabad: forbids killing completely

Morning Walk, January 22-23, 1976, Mayapura: the son inherits the father's debt [generalized interpretation of MS 8.162] Arrival Address, London, September 11, 1969: has no divorce law

SB 1.7.37: the killer of an animal is to be considered a murderer [Not if an animal is killed for sacrifice]

Lecture SB 5.5.1-2, Stockholm, September 7, 1973: enumerates the persons involved in animal killing [MS 5.51]

ome roads are endless; keep walking for everything else there are particle accelerators